Pupil premium strategy statement – Countesthorpe Academy

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

School overview

Detail	Data
Number of pupils in school	1242
	1026 Key Stages 3 & 4
	216 Key Stage 5
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	204 students = 20%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2022-2023 – 20025- 2026
Date this statement was published	December 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	July 2023
Statement authorised by	Catherine Aitcheson
	Headteacher
Pupil premium lead	Damian Thurston
	Deputy Headteacher
Governor / Trustee lead	Alison Bluff

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£196,683
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£32,625
Pupil premium (and recovery premium*) funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£
*Recovery premium received in academic year 2021 to 2022 can be carried forward to academic year 2022 to 2023. Recovery premium received in academic year 2022 to 2023 cannot be carried forward to 2023 to 2024.	
Total budget for this academic year	£ 229,308
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

At Countesthorpe Academy, we rigorously ensure that the Pupil Premium is used to 'transform lives' and allows every student, irrespective of background to achieve by using evidence informed initiatives.

The intention is to increase the attainment and progress made by our students eligible for the Pupil Premium, and therefore overtime continue to rapidly narrow in college gaps that exists between this group of students and their peers. In addition, we aim to continue to close the gap between the achievement of our disadvantaged students and the national achievement standards of all students. The activities outlined in this statement are intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

Quality first teaching is key to our approach. Research-led evidence from organisations such as the Education Endowment Foundation shows that this approach is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap. Simultaneously, this approach will benefit non-disadvantaged students in the Academy. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged students' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside the progress of their disadvantaged peers.

This strategy is integral to our wider Academy plan for education recovery through our approach to the National Tutoring Programme, after-school support sessions and well-being support programme for those students whose education has been worst affected; this includes disadvantaged students.

Our approach will be:

- Use the latest evidence based research outlined above on proven strategies which
 work to narrow the attainment gaps and adapt these as necessary to meet the needs of
 our students. This means that we will
 - Ensure that we set students work that is challenging
 - Act early to intervene, at the point need is identified
 - Adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged students' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve
- Use the Pupil Premium for all year groups not just those taking examinations at the end of the year.
- Encourage take up of FSM by working proactively with our parents and carers in a sensitive and supportive manner and to remove any potential barriers or stigma attached to claiming FSM. In doing so, we also recognise the vital role that parents and carers play in the lives of their children.
- Due to the global pandemic caused by the COVID 19 virus, Countesthorpe Academy
 has seen periods of either whole school closure and delivery of remote lessons, partial
 opening or whole school opening with individual students self isolating. This is against a
 back-drop of wider financial pressures affecting a large number of students and their
 families. Therefore the Academy will endeavour to encourage parents to apply
 proactively if their circumstances change.
- Be mindful of the fact that eligibility and take up of FSM does not equate with students being considered to be of 'low ability' because of their social circumstances.
- Recognise the fact that FSM students are not an homogeneous group and cover a wide range of needs. As such the strategies we use to raise attainment will take these group and individual needs fully into account.

•

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	To improve outcomes for all disadvantaged students at Key Stage 4, attainment of disadvantaged students is generally lower than that of their peers and teacher diagnostic assessments suggest that many students particularly struggle with problem solving tasks and comprehension.
2	Assessments, observations and discussion with KS3 students indicate that disadvantaged students generally have lower levels of reading comprehension than peers. This impacts their progress in all subjects.
	On entry to Year 7 the average reading age for the current year group is 11 years 0 months. The average reading age for disadvantaged students in the same year group is 10 years 4 months.
3	To improve the attendance of all disadvantaged students Attendance at the Academy is improving over time however this improvement is as rapid with disadvantaged students. The past four years trend shows that the average attendance of our Year disadvantaged students is 90% but this figure falls to an average of 78% by the end of Year 11
4	To reduce the number of behaviour incidents (including number of suspensions and numbers on Alternative Provision) of disadvantaged students so that the number of incidents/numbers on Alternative Provision represents the number of disadvantaged students in the Academy (approximately 20%).
5	The quality of teaching across the academy, whilst rapidly improving is not consistently good. This means that all students do not make rapid progress in their learning in all areas. Evidence from the EEF clearly shows that quality first teaching is vitally important if disadvantaged students are to make the same progress as their non-disadvantaged peers.
6	Our assessments (including wellbeing survey), observations and discussions with students and families have identified social and emotional issues for many students, such as anxiety, depression (diagnosed by medical professionals) and low self-esteem. This is partly driven by concern about catching up lost learning and exams/future prospects, and the lack of enrichment opportunities due to the pandemic. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged students, including their attainment due to poor levels of attendance.
	During the pandemic, teacher referrals for support markedly increased. 213 students (137 of whom are disadvantaged) have required or currently require additional support with social and emotional needs. This level of support ensured that attendance of these students increased to 90.3% (against an average PP attendance of 84.84%). Evidence therefore shows that this intervention is having a positive impact.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success crite	ria	
Improved attainment among disadvantaged students across the curriculum at the end of KS4	By the end of our current plan (2025) the Attainment 8 gap between non-disadvantaged and disadvantaged students will have closed by at least 50%,		
	Over the past three years the gap has averaged 13 points. By 2025 the gap will be no larger than 6.5 points.		
	Currently the foll disadvantaged starget grade:		
		2021	2022
	English:	51%	63%
	Science:	57%	70%
	Maths:	67%	67%
	By 2025 these p than: English: 30% Maths: 30% Science: 30%	ercentages w	vill be no larger
Improved reading comprehension among disadvantaged students across KS3.	Reading comprehension tests demonstrate improved comprehension skills among disadvantaged students and a smaller disparity between the scores of disadvantaged students and their non-disadvantaged peers. Teachers should also have recognised this improvement through engagement in lessons and book scrutiny.		
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all students, particularly our	Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by:		
disadvantaged students.	the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 4%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced by at least 8%.		
	The percentage persistently abs the figure amon being no more t their peers.	ent being belo g disadvantag	ow 12% and led pupils
Improve behaviour across the Academy and reduced the number of serious incidents that involve our disadvantaged students	Currently disadv 54% of our stud		

	Over the last two academic years disadvantaged students have represented 80% of one suspensions and 90% of two or more suspensions By 2025 these figures will have reduced to represent the percentage of students across the Academy who are disadvantaged.
Teaching across the Academy will be consistently good and often outstanding	A clear programme of CPD and support will be in place to help all staff improve their pedagogy. Evidence from QA, MAT Peer Reviews, parent, staff and student voice all indicate that teaching is at least good across the academy

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ 31 500

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Enhance the quality of our CPD for all staff. Staff will have the opportunity to identify their own training needs to become even better practitioners including:	Quality first teaching is the cornerstone to student success. Training is therefore required to support this.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
Further training for staff on the use of SISRA Analytics to identify underperformance and provide appropriate, rapid intervention	Training for the effective use of TAs and	
Use of TAs and LSAs	LSAs show that this has a positive outcome for those students with specific learning needs but also the class as a whole/	
Further specific training on the use of feedback to students	Teaching Assistant Interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
	Feedback is identified as being one of the most effective means of enabling students	

	to progress. Feedback EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
Improving literacy in all subject areas in line with recommendations in the EEF Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools guidance.	Acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they learn new, more complex concepts in each subject: Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools Reading comprehension, vocabulary and other literacy skills are heavily linked with attainment in maths and English:	2, 4, 5
Invest in and roll out STARR Reader across KS3. Train staff, students and parents in the use of STARR reader	word-gap.pdf (oup.com.cn)	

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ 125 000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Adopting a targeted reciprocal teaching programme as a reading intervention for disadvantaged students who need additional help to comprehend texts and address vocabulary gaps.	Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on pupils' ability to understand a text, and this is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter timespan: Reading comprehension strategies Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1, 2, 4
Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1, 2, 5

pandemic. A significant proportion of the students who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers.		
Provision of after school facilities to enable students to access either learning support, ICT or just a quiet place to complete homework or revise.	Whilst there is no direct EEF evidence to support this activity, our research has shown that homework club improves student and parental engagement with the Academy. Our analysis of results also shows that the students who attend this provision make better progress than their peers.	1, 2, 6

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ 73 000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Embedding principles of good practice set out in DfE's Improving School Attendance advice.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels.	3
Staff will get training and release time to develop and implement new procedures. Attendance/support officers will be appointed to improve attendance.		
Develop further our raising the BAR initiative. Staff will receive regular training opportunities focussing on behaviour and the need for a consistent approach.	Behaviour in general around the Academy has improved dramatically, however there is still a need to improve the behaviour for learning if we are to make the progress we would like. Raising the BAR strategy has proven to be a successful strategy across the Academy last academic year and will continue to be rolled out.	1, 3, 4, 5
Provide further opportunities to develop students' cultural capital	Surveys of our disadvantaged students show that 82% have never been to at least one of the following: • the theatre,	1, 2, 3, 4

	 visited the seaside visited a city other than Leicester visited a National Park This impedes their ability to write from a point of clear understanding. 	
Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	

Total budgeted cost: £ 229 500

Part B: Review of the previous academic year

Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils

Our assessment of the reasons for these outcomes points primarily to Covid-19 impact, which disrupted all of our subject areas to varying degrees. As evidenced in schools across the country, partial closure was most detrimental to our disadvantaged pupils, and they were not able to benefit from our pupil premium funded improvements to teaching and targeted interventions to the degree that we intended. The impact was mitigated by our resolution to maintain a high quality curriculum, including during periods of partial closure, which was aided by use of live lessons delivered via Microsoft Teams to all students, 1-1 tutoring of students in all year groups and developing students levels of resilience.

Average Attainment 8 (all students): 42.2

Average Attainment 8 (Non-disadvantaged): 46.09 Average Attainment 8 (Disadvantaged): 25.23

Average Progress 8 (all students): -0.51

Average Progress 8 (Non-disadvantaged): -0.32

Average Progress 8 (Disadvantaged): -1.31

Grades 9-5 in English and Maths

All Students: 51.5%

Non-disadvantaged: 57.8%

Disadvantaged: 24%

Grades 9-4 in English and Maths

All Students: 64.9%

Non-disadvantaged: 73.4%

Disadvantaged: 28%

Attendance (all students) 89.9% Attendance (Disadvantaged) 82%

Attainment is lower for Disadvantaged Students than the previous year. These results reflect a national decline in outcomes for disadvantaged students, however the gap here at Countesthorpe is larger than anticipated. Levels of attendance has continued to be an issue with absence among disadvantaged students being 8% higher than their peers. The link between high attendance and high attainment is well documented. As a consequence of our monitoring of this situation throughout the academic year, we are now part of a pilot scheme run by the DFE to raise attendance.

During the pandemic, teacher referrals for support markedly increased. 213 students (137 of whom are disadvantaged) have required or currently require additional support with social and emotional needs. This level of support ensured that attendance of these students increased to 90.3% (against an average PP attendance of 84.84%).

The impact of our well-being programme has seen an decrease in the number of
students accessing our Well-Being Room. The level of support provided to these
students has also led to a decrease in the percentage of disadvantaged students being
suspended from school as a whole (2020-21 66%, 2021-22 48%). Further work is
required in this area to ensure that the percentage of disadvantaged students is a
reflection of the student body (20%).

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium (or recovery premium) to fund in the previous academic year.

Programme	Provider

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information: How our service pupil premium allocation was spent last academic year
The impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils

Further information (optional)

Use this space to provide any further information about your pupil premium strategy. For example, about your strategy planning, or other activity that you are implementing to support disadvantaged pupils, that is not dependent on pupil premium or recovery premium funding.